# In the Heading

Please delete docket number "59-118-3" of the above-referenced application and substitute docket number "2821-193".

## In the Drawings

Please amend FIGURES 1 and 2 as indicated in the attached redline FIGURES 1 and 2.

### **REMARKS**

Applicant expresses its appreciation to the Examiner for his time and comments regarding the above-referenced patent application in the interviews of April 20, 2000 and December 6, 2000. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-12, and 14-21 are pending.

## **Objection to the Specification**

The Examiner objects to the disclosure in paragraph 3 of the Office Action because the angle  $\alpha$  is not clearly understood. The reference  $\alpha$  is shown in amended FIGURE 1, thereby overcoming the objection.

# Objections to the Drawings

The Examiner objects to the drawings in paragraph 4 of the Office Action because references "26" and 27" should be interchanged to maintain consistency. FIGURE 1 has been so amended. The Examiner has also objected the drawings in paragraph 6 of the Office Action to as failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.84(p)(5)

because they do not include the reference sign mentioned in the description. FIGURE 2 has been amended to show reference  $\alpha$ , thereby overcoming the objection.

The Examiner also objects to the drawings in paragraph 6 of the Office Action under 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a) as not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The "means for releasably coupling" must be shown or canceled from the claims. FIGURE 1 is amended to show the detachable drive unit 30, as noted in paragraph 1(d) of the above-referenced Interview, and as described in the specification on page 6, line 25, thereby responding to the objection.

The foregoing amendments are understood to have been approved by the Examiner in the Final Office Action. See paragraph 2 of the Final Office Action.

The foregoing amendments to the drawings are submitted to address the Examiner's concerns and to avoid appeal on issues on which there is agreement. As requested by the Examiner in the Interview of April 20, 2000, a set of all six figures, including all figure changes, are resubmitted to ensure that the Figures are current. The Figures include the foregoing amendments approved by the Examiner during the Interview.

### Conclusion

Entry of the amendments is respectfully requested as the amendments narrow the issues for consideration on appeal, comply with requirements of form expressly set forth in the Final Office Action, and place the remaining rejected claims in better form for consideration upon appeal.

This Amendment After Final is submitted with an Appeal Brief, in response to the January 29, 2001 Examiner's Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 C.F.R. 1.192(c). No fees are considered due. However, if it is determined that fees are due

or that an overpayment has been made, please debit or credit, as appropriate, our Deposit Order Account 13-0235.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below to further resolve outstanding issues in the case.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael T. Clorite

Registration No. 44,620 Attorney for Applicant

McCormick, Paulding & Huber LLP CityPlace II, 185 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103-4102 (860) 549-5290